A Refined Model Construction for the Polymorphic Lambda Calculus

> Dieter Spreen University of Siegen

"Program Extraction and Constructive Proof" Brno, 21 August 2010

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Polymorphic Lambda Calculus (Girard, 1971; Reynolds, 1974)

#### Definition

The *types* of the polymorphic lambda calculus are those that can be generated by the following clauses:

- 1. The type variables  $\alpha, \alpha_0, \alpha_1$  etc. are types.
- 2. If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are types, then  $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$  is a type.
- 3. If  $\sigma$  is a type and  $\gamma$  is a type variable, then  $\Pi\gamma.\sigma$  is a type.

### Definition

The concept of a *term of type*  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is a type, is inductively defined by the following clauses:

- 1. For any type  $\sigma$ , the variables of type  $\sigma$ ,  $x^{\sigma}$ ,  $x_0^{\sigma}$ ,  $x_1^{\sigma}$  etc. are terms of type  $\sigma$ .
- 2. If t is a term of type  $\tau$  and  $y^{\sigma}$  is a variable of type  $\sigma$ , then  $\lambda y^{\sigma}.t$  is a term of type  $\sigma \to \tau$ .
- 3. If t and u are terms of respective types  $\sigma \to \tau$  and  $\sigma$ , then t(u) is a term of type  $\tau$ .
- If t is a term of type σ and γ is a type variable that is not free in the type of any variable freely occurring in t, then Λγ.t is a term of type Πγ.σ.

5. If t is a term of type  $\Pi\gamma.\sigma$  and  $\tau$  is a type, then  $t\{\tau\}$  is a term of type  $\sigma[\tau/\gamma]$ .

# **Semantics**

*Problem:* Interpretation of  $\Pi \gamma . \tau$ .

$$\llbracket \Pi \gamma . \tau \rrbracket = \prod_{\llbracket types \rrbracket} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket,$$

but  $\Pi \gamma . \tau \in \text{types}.$ 

Reynolds, 1984:

There is no set-theoretical model of the polymorphic lambda calculus.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Solution (Girard, 1986):

Let **DOM** be a category of domains such that every object in **DOM** is the colimit of an  $\omega$ -chain of finite domains with embedding-projections as bonding maps.

Let  $\tau$  be a type expression with free type variables  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ . Interpret  $\tau$  as an  $\omega$ -continuous functor

# $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket : (\mathsf{DOM}^{\mathsf{ep}})^n \to \mathsf{DOM}^{\mathsf{ep}}$

and  $\Pi\gamma.\tau$  as the collection of its continuous sections.

Problem: This collection is too large to be a set.

*Important observation:* Every continuous section of  $[\tau]$  is uniquely determined by its behaviour on the finite domains in **DOM**.

Let  $\mathbb S$  be a countable full subcategory of  $\textbf{DOM}^{ep}$  which up to isomorphism contains every finite domain in DOM.

Set

$$\llbracket \Pi \gamma. \tau \rrbracket = \{ \text{continuous section of } \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \upharpoonright S \}.$$

Note that this is a domain again with respect to the pointwise order.

Interpretation of terms:

Let t be a term of type  $\tau$  with free variables  $x_1^{\sigma_1}, \ldots, x_n^{\sigma_n}$ . Set

$$\llbracket t \rrbracket =$$
 continuous section of  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ .

Girard uses qualitative domains, but he does not fully exploit their approximability by finite domains.

Each such domains is a colimit of an  $\omega$ -chain of finite subdomains.



- ► Provides a measure of how good an approximation z of x is: take the smallest n so that z ∈ D<sub>n</sub>.
- For any x ∈ D, there is a best approximation of x with respect to each level D<sub>n</sub>:

$$[x]_n = \bigsqcup \{ z \in D_n \mid z \sqsubseteq x \}.$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

### Definition Let $(D, \sqsubseteq)$ be a poset and $x \in D$ . Then x is

1. *compact* if for all directed  $S \subseteq D$  with least upper bound in D,

$$x \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S \Rightarrow (\exists u \in S) x \sqsubseteq u.$$

2. *completely prime* if for all bounded  $S \subseteq D$  with least upper bound in D,

$$x \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S \Rightarrow (\exists u \in S) x \sqsubseteq u.$$

Set

$$D^{0} = \{ x \in D \mid x \text{ is compact } \}$$
$$D^{p} = \{ x \in D \mid x \text{ is completely prime } \}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Definition

### D is a pre-dl-domain if

- Every directed  $S \subseteq D$  has a least upper bound in D
- ▶ All bounded  $\{x, y\} \subseteq D$  have a least upper bound in D.
- For all x, y, z ∈ D such that {y, z} is bounded and x □ (y ⊔ z), x □ y, x □ z exist in D,

$$x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z).$$

• For all 
$$x \in D^0$$
,  $\downarrow \{x\}$  is finite.

# Definition

D is a qualitative pre-domain if

- ► D is a pre-dl-domain,
- ► The elements of D<sup>p</sup> are pairwise incomparable with respect to ⊆.

**Note**.  $x \in D$  is uniquely determined by  $\{ p \in D^p \mid p \sqsubseteq x \}$ .

### Definition

Let D be a qualitative pre-domain. Then  $([\cdot]_i^D : D \to D)_{i \in \omega}$  is an *approximation structure* on D if for all  $i, j \in \omega$  and  $x, y \in D$ ,

- [·]<sup>D</sup><sub>i</sub> is stable
- ►  $\downarrow D_i \subseteq D_i$ , where  $D_i = \{x \in D \mid [x]_i^D = x\}$ .
- $D^0 \subseteq \bigcup_{\nu} D_{\nu}$
- $\blacktriangleright \ [\cdot]_i^D \circ [\cdot]_j^D = [\cdot]_{\min\{i,j\}}^D$
- $[\cdot]_i^D \sqsubseteq_s \operatorname{id}_D$
- $\blacktriangleright \bigsqcup_{\nu} [\cdot]_{\nu}^{D} = \mathrm{id}_{D}$
- $[x]_0^D = [y]_0^D$ .

### Note.

- ► All conditions are universally quantified. Thus, (Ø, Ø, (Ø)<sub>i∈ω</sub>) is a qualitative pre-domain with approximation structure.
- If D is nonempty, then D is a qualitative domain with least element [x]<sup>D</sup><sub>0</sub>.

**Aim.** Interpret types by qualitative pre-domains with approximation structure.

### Definition

For  $x \in D$  the *rank* rk(x) of x is given by

$$\operatorname{rk}(x) = \begin{cases} \min \{ i \mid x \in D_i \}, & \text{if } \{ i \mid x \in D_i \} \neq \emptyset \\ \omega, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The approximation structure is determined by the ranks of the complete primes.

#### Lemma

$$\operatorname{rk}(x) = \sup \{ \operatorname{rk}(p) \mid p \in D^p, p \sqsubseteq x \}.$$

Note that for  $p \in D^p$ ,  $\operatorname{rk}(p) < \omega$ , as  $D^p \subseteq D^0 \subseteq \bigcup_{\nu} D_{\nu}$ .

#### Lemma

Let D be a qualitative domain or empty, and  $r: D^p \rightarrow \omega$ . Set

$$[x]_i^D = \bigsqcup \{ p \in D^p \mid p \in D^p, r(p) \le i \}.$$

Then  $([\cdot]_i^D)_{i\in\omega}$  is an approximation structure on D with  $\operatorname{rk}(p) = r(p)$ , for  $p \in D^p$ .

**Assume.** {  $p \in D^p | r(p) \le i$  } is finite, for all  $i \in \omega$ . Then  $D_i$  is finite as well.

#### Definition

Let D, E be qualitative pre-domains with approximation structure. A map  $f: D \to E$  is *rank-preserving* if for all  $x \in D$ , and  $i, j \in \omega$  with  $j \ge i$ ,

$$[f(x)]_i^E = [f([x]_j^D)]_i^E.$$

#### Note.

• *f* is rank-preserving iff for all  $x, y \in D$  and  $i \in \omega$ ,

$$[x]_i^D = [y]_i^D \Rightarrow [f(x)]_i^E = [f(y)]_i^E.$$

► The empty map is rank-preserving if *D* is empty.

#### Let

$$[D \rightarrow_{srp} E] = \{ f \colon D \rightarrow E \mid f \text{ stable, rank-preserving} \}.$$

Every stable map f is uniquely determined by its *trace*  $tr(f) = \{ (u, p) \in D^0 \times E^p \mid u \text{ least with } p \sqsubseteq f(u) \}.$ 

#### Lemma

For 
$$f \in [D \to_{srp} E]$$
,  $tr(f)$  satisfies  
1.  $(\forall (u_1, p_1), ..., (u_n, p_n) \in tr(f))[\{u_1, ..., u_n\}\uparrow \Rightarrow \{p_1, ..., p_n\}\uparrow].$   
2.  $(\forall (u, p), (u', p') \in tr(f))[\{u, u'\}\uparrow \Rightarrow u = u'].$   
3.  $(\forall (u, p) \in tr(f)) rk(u) \leq rk(p).$ 

#### Lemma

1. From its trace f can be computed via

$$f(x) = \bigsqcup \{ p \mid (\exists u \sqsubseteq x)(u, p) \in \operatorname{tr}(f) \}.$$
(\*)

2. If  $X \subseteq D^0 \times E^p$  with (1-3), then X is the trace of the stable rank-preserving map given by (\*).

For  $f \in [D \rightarrow_{srp} E]$  set

$$f \sqsubseteq_{s} g \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(f) \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(g),$$
$$[f]_{i}^{\rightarrow}(x) = [f(x)]_{i}^{E}.$$

#### Proposition

 $([D \rightarrow_{srp} E], \sqsubseteq_s, ([\cdot]_i^{\rightarrow})_{i \in \omega})$  is a qualitative pre-domain with approximation structrure.

#### Note.

Consequently,  $\{ f \in [D \rightarrow_{srp} E]^p \mid rk(f) \leq i \}$  is finite.

Every qualitative domain is a colimit of an  $\omega$ -chain of finite qualitative domains with embeddings as bonding maps.

Now. Embeddings must preserve the approximation structure!

### Definition

Let D, E be qualitative domains with approximation structure and  $e: D \rightarrow E$ ],  $p: E \rightarrow D$  be stable maps. Then (e, p) is a *rigid* embedding/projection pair if

$$\blacktriangleright \ p \circ e = \mathrm{id}_D$$

• 
$$e \circ p \sqsubseteq_s \operatorname{id}_E$$
.

**Notation:**  $p = e^R$ .

**In addition:** Embeddings must commute with the approximation maps:

$$e([x]_i^D) = [e(x)]_i^E$$
  $(x \in D, i \in \omega).$ 

Note.

- Subspace inclusion commutes with the approximation maps.
- $e^{R}([y]_{i}^{E}) = [e^{R}(y)]_{i}^{D}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  be the category of qualitative pre-domains with approximation structure and rigid embeddings that commute with the approximation maps.

Then  $\emptyset$  is an isolated object: there are no arrows from/to other objects.

# Proposition

Every object in  $\mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  is a colimit of an  $\omega$ -chain in  $\mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  of finite objects.

#### The Function Space Functor

Let

$$F(D,E) = [D 
ightarrow {\it srp} E]$$

and for  $d \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}[D, D']$  and  $e \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}[E, E']$ ,

$$\begin{split} F(d,e)(h) &= e \circ h \circ d^R \qquad (h \in F(D,E)) \\ F(d,e)^R(h') &= e^R \circ h' \circ d \qquad (h' \in F(D',E')). \end{split}$$

### Proposition

The function space functor F is stable and rank-preserving, i.e. for all  $D, E \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  and all  $i, j \in \omega$  with  $j \ge i$ ,

$$F(D_j \hookrightarrow D, E_j \hookrightarrow E) \upharpoonright F(D_j, E_j)_i \colon F(D_j, E_j)_i \xrightarrow{iso} F(D, E)_i.$$

**Note.**  $D \mapsto D_i$  defines an approximation structure on **qPA**<sup>e</sup>.

#### The Product Construction

### Definition

Let  $G: \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}} \to \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  be a stable functor. Then  $(t(X))_{X \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}}$  is a *uniform family* of G if for all  $X, Y \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}, f \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}[X, Y]$ )

• 
$$t(X) \in G(X)$$
,

• 
$$t(X) = G(f)^R(t(Y)).$$

### Proposition

Let G be stable and rank-preserving and t be a uniform family of G. Then t is rank-preserving, i.e. for all  $X \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  and all  $i, j \in \omega$  with  $j \ge i$ ,

$$[t(X)]_i^{G(X)} = G(X_j \hookrightarrow X)([t(X_j)]_i^{G(X_j)}).$$

Set

$$\prod G = \{ t \mid t \text{ is a uniform family of } G \}.$$

Note.  $(\exists X \in \mathbf{qPA})G(X) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \prod G = \emptyset \in \mathbf{qPA}.$ 

**Assume:**  $G(X) \neq \emptyset$ , for all  $X \in \mathbf{qPA}$ .

### Theorem (Normal Form Theorem)

Let G be stable and rank-preserving,  $X \in \mathbf{qPA}$ , and  $p \in G(X)^p$ . Then there exist a finite  $\widehat{X} \in \mathbf{qPA}$ ,  $f \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}[\widehat{X}, X]$  and  $\widehat{p} \in G(\widehat{X})^p$  such that

- *p* = *G*(*f*)(*p̂*) (normal form of *p* with respect to *G*(*X̂*))
   rk(*X̂*) ≤ rk(*p̂*)
- ► For all  $Y \in \mathbf{qPA}$ ,  $f' \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}[Y, X]$ ,  $y \in G(Y)^p$  with p = G(f')(y) there is exactly one  $h \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}[\widehat{X}, Y]$  so that

$$y = G(h)(\hat{p})$$
 and  $f = f' \circ h$ .

As in (Girard, 1986):  $\prod G$  is a qualitative domain.

For  $t \in \prod G$  and  $i \in \omega$  set

$$[t]_i^{\prod G}(X) = [t(X)]_i^{G(X)}$$

Lemma  $([\cdot]_{i}^{\prod G})_{i \in \omega}$  is an approximation structure on  $\prod G$ .

Let  $G: (\mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}})^{m+1} \to \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  be stable and rank-preserving. For  $Y \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}$  and  $f \in \mathbf{qPA}^{\mathbf{e}}[Y, Y']$  set

$$egin{aligned} G_{ec{X}}(Y) &= G(ec{X},Y) \ G_{ec{X}}(f) &= G(\operatorname{id}_{ec{X}},f). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\prod^{G} : (\mathbf{q}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{e}})^{m} \to \mathbf{q}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{e}}$  with

$$\prod^{G}(\vec{X}) = \prod G_{\vec{X}}$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

can be made into a stable rank-preserving functor.

# Model:

type expression stable rank-preserving functor term uniform family

# Advantages:

- Absurdity  $\Pi \alpha. \alpha$  is interpreted by  $\emptyset$  (as it should be!).
- The interpretation of arrow types is smaller as in Girard's model.
- The approximability of domains by finite domains is fully taken into consideration.

# However

The interpretation of

$$Polybool = \Pi \alpha. \alpha \to (\alpha \to \alpha)$$

still consists of

TRUE, FALSE, INTER,

where

INTER =  $\Lambda X \cdot \lambda x \cdot \lambda y \cdot x \sqcap y$ .

#### **Solution.** Restrict to *total* domain elements.

Girard: No requirements: Any  $D' \subseteq D$  is a set of total elements.

Obviously, this definition is much too general. Intuitive requirements for an element to be total are that it is

- completely specified,
- the result of an infinite approximation process.

Here, we will require that it has at least infinite rank.

### Definition

Let D be a qualitative pre-domain with approximation structure.  $D^t \subseteq D$  is a *totality* on D, if  $rk(x) = \omega$ , for all  $x \in D^t$ , in case that  $rk(D) = \omega$ .

Obviously, if  $\operatorname{rk}(D) < \omega$  then  $D^t = \emptyset$ .

Let **qPAT**<sup>e</sup> be the full subcategory of **qPA**<sup>e</sup> of qualitative pre-domains with approximation structure and totality.

#### Lemma

Let  $(D, D^t), (E, E^t)$  be qualitative pre-domains with approximation structure and totality and set

$$[D \rightarrow_{srp} E]^t = \{ f \in [D \rightarrow_{srp} E] \mid f(D^t) \subseteq E^t \}.$$

Then  $[D \rightarrow_{srp} E]^t$  is a totality on  $[D \rightarrow_{srp} E]$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $G\colon q\textbf{PAT}^e\to q\textbf{PAT}^e$  be a stable rank-preserving functor and set

$$(\prod G)^t = \{ t \in \prod G \mid (\forall X \in \mathsf{qPAT}^e)[\operatorname{rk}(X) = \omega \Rightarrow t(X) \in G(X)^t] \}$$

Then  $(\prod G)^t$  is a totality on  $\prod G$ .

In the modified model the only total elements of  $\rm POLYBOOL$  are  $\rm TRUE$  and  $\rm FALSE.$  Similarly for  $\rm POLYNAT.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ