

Quasi-probability representation and quantum joint measurements

Ali Asadian, Siegen University

Maria Laach, August 2017

Outline

- Joint quantum measurements of compatible observables
- **Quasi-probability representations of quantum mechanics**
- **Quasi-PRs of the joint measurements**
- Example: qubit and ~~higher dimension~~
- Concluding remarks

Joint measurements

Joint measurement \mathcal{M}

Is a compound measurement of a set of compatible observables (e.g. M_1, M_2)

described by $\{E_{k_1, k_2 | \mathcal{M}}\}$, $\sum_{k_1, k_2} E_{k_1, k_2 | \mathcal{M}} = I$

Joint measurements

Joint measurement \mathcal{M}

Is a compound measurement of a set of compatible observables (e.g. M_1, M_2)

described by $\{E_{k_1, k_2} | \mathcal{M}\}$, $\sum_{k_1, k_2} E_{k_1, k_2} | \mathcal{M} = I$

Marginality condition:

$$\sum_{k_2} E_{k_1, k_2} | \mathcal{M} = E_{k_1} | M_1,$$

$$\sum_{k_1} E_{k_1, k_2} | \mathcal{M} = E_{k_2} | M_2$$

Does (an optimal) joint measurements **exist**? Compatibility of the observables

How to **construct** them?

Can we answer with a **single recipe**?

Quasi-probability representation

- Quasi-PR of the quantum states and measurements:

$$\mu : \rho \mapsto \mu_\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu_\rho(\lambda) = 1$$

So called ontic state

$$\xi : E_{k|M} \mapsto \xi_M(k|\lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_k \xi_E(k|\lambda) = 1, \quad \forall \lambda$$

Born's rule (the total law of probability):

$$p_k = \text{Tr}(\rho E_k) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu_\rho(\lambda) \xi_E(k|\lambda)$$

.. Much like a classical probability

Quasi-probability representation

- Quasi-PR of the quantum states and measurements:

$$\mu : \rho \mapsto \mu_\rho(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu_\rho(\lambda) = 1$$

So called ontic state

$$\xi : E_{k|M} \mapsto \xi_M(k|\lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_k \xi_E(k|\lambda) = 1, \quad \forall \lambda$$

Born's rule (the total law of probability):

$$p_k = \text{Tr}(\rho E_k) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu_\rho(\lambda) \xi_E(k|\lambda)$$

.. Much like a classical probability

Frame representation(orthogonal basis):

$$\{F_\lambda\}, \quad \sum_\lambda F_\lambda = \mathbb{I} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \sum_\lambda \mu_\rho(\lambda) = 1 \quad \mu_\rho(\lambda) = \text{Tr}[\rho F_\lambda]$$

$$\{D_\lambda\}, \quad \text{Tr} D_\lambda = 1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \sum_k \xi_E(k|\lambda) = 1 \quad \xi_E(k|\lambda) = \text{Tr}[E_k D_\lambda]$$

$$\text{Tr}(F_\lambda D_{\lambda'}) = \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \quad \text{Orthogonal, and thus form a complete basis}$$

..continued

Frame representation

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{O} D_{\lambda}) F_{\lambda} \quad , \quad \forall \mathcal{O}$$

$$E_k = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_k D_{\lambda}) F_{\lambda} \quad \rightarrow \quad p_k = \text{Tr}[E_k \rho] = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_k D_{\lambda}) \text{Tr}(\rho F_{\lambda}) \\ := \sum_{\lambda} \xi_E(k|\lambda) \mu_{\rho}(\lambda)$$

..continued

Frame representation

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{O} D_{\lambda}) F_{\lambda} \quad , \quad \forall \mathcal{O}$$

$$E_k = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_k D_{\lambda}) F_{\lambda} \quad \rightarrow \quad p_k = \text{Tr}[E_k \rho] = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_k D_{\lambda}) \text{Tr}(\rho F_{\lambda}) \\ := \sum_{\lambda} \xi_E(k|\lambda) \mu_{\rho}(\lambda)$$

A general class of a frame: phase-space point operators

Wigner representation (Wootters 1987, Gross 2006, et al)

$$W_{\lambda} \equiv D_{\lambda} = d F_{\lambda}$$

Wigner representation of a single effect:

$$E_k = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_k W_{\lambda}) W_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \xi_E(k|\lambda) W_{\lambda}$$

Qubit example:

Phase-space point operators:

$$W_{lm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[I + (-1)^l \sigma_1 + (-1)^m \sigma_2 + (-1)^{l+m} \sigma_3 \right]$$

$$\sigma_1 = \mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sin \theta \cos \varphi \sigma_x + \sin \theta \sin \varphi \sigma_y + \cos \theta \sigma_z$$

$$\sigma_2 = \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \cos \theta \cos \varphi \sigma_x + \cos \theta \sin \varphi \sigma_y - \sin \theta \sigma_z$$

$$\sigma_3 = \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = -\sin \varphi \sigma_x + \cos \varphi \sigma_y$$

$$l, m = 0, 1$$

Discrete phase space

	10	11
l	00	01

$$E_{\pm|X} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \eta_x \sigma_x)$$

	10	11
l	00	01

m

	10	11
l	00	01

m

m

$$E_{\pm|Z} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \eta_z \sigma_z)$$

	10	11
l	00	01

m

	10	11
l	00	01

m

Bloch

vs

Frame

$$\{I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\} \quad \text{Tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad \{W_{00}, W_{10}, W_{01}, W_{11}\} \quad \text{Tr}(W_\lambda W_{\lambda'}) = 2\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$$

State

$$\rho = \sum_{j=0}^3 \eta_j \sigma_j$$

Effect

$$E = \sum_{j=0}^3 e_j \sigma_j$$

Born's rule

$$p_k = \text{Tr}(E_k \rho) = \sum_{j=0}^3 e_j^k \eta_j$$

State

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m=0,1} \mu_\rho(lm) W_{lm}$$

Effect

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m=0,1} \xi_E(k|lm) W_{lm}$$

Born's rule

$$\mu_\rho(lm) = \text{Tr}(\rho W_{lm})/d$$

$$p_k = \text{Tr}(E_k \rho) = \sum_{l,m=0,1} \xi_E(k|lm) \mu_\rho(lm)$$

Quasi-PR of the joint measurements

Ansatz: For a given set of observables $\{M_1, M_2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k_1, k_2 | \mathcal{M}} &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_{k_1 | M_1} W_{\lambda}) \text{Tr}(E_{k_2 | M_2} W_{\lambda}) W_{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{M_1}(k_1 | \lambda) \xi_{M_2}(k_2 | \lambda) W_{\lambda} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Factorized conditional quasi-probabilities

Recall:

$$p_{k_1 k_2 | \mathcal{M}} = \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{M_1}(k_1 | \lambda) \xi_{M_2}(k_2 | \lambda) \mu_{\rho}(\lambda)$$

Marginalizing

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k_1} &= \sum_{k_2} E_{k_1, k_2 | \mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_{k_1 | M_1} W_{\lambda}) \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{k_2} E_{k_2 | M_2} W_{\lambda}\right) W_{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_{k_1 | M_1} W_{\lambda}) W_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Quasi-PR of the joint measurements

Ansatz: For a given set of observables $\{M_1, M_2\}$

$$E_{k_1, k_2 | \mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_{k_1 | M_1} W_{\lambda}) \text{Tr}(E_{k_2 | M_2} W_{\lambda}) W_{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{M_1}(k_1 | \lambda) \xi_{M_2}(k_2 | \lambda) W_{\lambda} \geq 0$$

Factorized conditional quasi-probabilities

Recall:

$$p_{k_1 k_2 | \mathcal{M}} = \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{M_1}(k_1 | \lambda) \xi_{M_2}(k_2 | \lambda) \mu_{\rho}(\lambda)$$

Marginalizing

$$E_{k_1} = \sum_{k_2} E_{k_1, k_2 | \mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_{k_1 | M_1} W_{\lambda}) \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{k_2} E_{k_2 | M_2} W_{\lambda}\right) W_{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \text{Tr}(E_{k_1 | M_1} W_{\lambda}) W_{\lambda}$$

$\sum_{k_2} E_{k_2 | M_2} = I \quad , \quad \text{Tr}(W_{\lambda}) = 1$

Joint measurement of n compatible observables:

$$E_{\mathbf{k} | \mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k} | \lambda) W_{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_{M_j}(k_j | \lambda) W_{\lambda}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$$

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$$

Quasi-PR of the joint measurements

Joint measurement of n compatible observables:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$$

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$$

Conjecture:

One can always find a suitable frame by which the joint measurement of the n compatible observable can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{k}|\mathcal{M}} &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|\lambda) W_{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\lambda} \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_{M_j}(k_j|\lambda) W_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Compatibility criteria..

Sufficient(for arbitrary number of measurements) : Come from positivity condition

$$\sum_{l=0,1} \left(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l) - \xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l \oplus 1) \right)^2 \leq 2 \prod_{l=0,1} \left(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l) + \xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l \oplus 1) \right) *$$

Probabilistic version

Recall:

$$\xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, m) = \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_{M_j}(k_j|l, m) = \prod_{j=1}^n \text{Tr}(E_{k_j|M_j} W_{lm})$$

Compatibility criteria..

Sufficient(for arbitrary number of measurements) : Come from positivity condition

$$\sum_{l=0,1} \left(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l) - \xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l \oplus 1) \right)^2 \leq 2 \prod_{l=0,1} \left(\xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l) + \xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, l \oplus 1) \right) *$$

Probabilistic language

Recall:

$$\xi_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{k}|l, m) = \prod_{j=1}^n \xi_{M_j}(k_j|l, m) = \prod_{j=1}^n \text{Tr}(E_{k_j|M_j} W_{lm})$$

For $n = 2$

Necessary (Busch Criterion):

$$|\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\eta}_2| + |\boldsymbol{\eta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\eta}_2| \leq 2 \quad ** \quad \text{Quantum language}$$

Symmetric (unbiased) qubit effects:

$$* \iff **$$

Necessary and sufficient

Concluding remarks..

Advantages of the quasiprobability approach to quantum compatibility & joint measurability:

Conceptual

- A (quasi-)probabilistic (“classical-like”) description of the compatibility and joint measurability; offers unifying picture

Compatibility **outside** QM and Compatibility **inside** QM

Practical

- General construction of (**optimal**) joint measurements of multiple measurements using frame representation

Concluding remarks..

Advantages of the quasiprobability approach to quantum compatibility & joint measurability:

Conceptual

- A (quasi-)probabilistic (“classical-like”) description of the compatibility and joint measurability; offers unifying picture

Compatibility **outside** QM and Compatibility **inside** QM

Practical

- General construction of (**optimal**) joint measurements of multiple measurements using frame representation

Thank you