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## Introduction

- Steering is a nonclassical phenomenon that formalizes what Einstein called "spooky action at a distance". For a long time, it was studied under the name of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) paradox.
- It is a form of nonlocality that sits between entanglement and Bell nonlocality and that is intrinsically asymmetric.
- It can be characterized by a simple quantum information processing task, namely, entanglement verification with an untrusted party.
- It is useful in a number of applications, such as subchannel discrimination and one-sided device-independent quantum key distribution.


Figure: Steering scenario. Alice can affect Bob's state via her choice of the measurement according to the relation $\rho_{a \mid x}=\operatorname{tr}_{A}\left[\left(A_{a \mid X} \otimes 1\right) \rho\right]$. Entanglement is necessary but not sufficient for steering.

## LHV model vs. LHV-LHS model

- Local hidden variable (LHV) model

$$
p(a, b \mid x, y)=\sum_{\lambda} p_{\lambda} p(a \mid x, \lambda) p(b \mid y, \lambda) .
$$

$p(a \mid x, \lambda), p(b \mid y, \lambda)$ : arbitrary probability distributions,

- Local hidden variable-local hidden state (LHV-LHS) model ${ }^{1}$,

$$
p(a, b \mid x, y)=\sum_{\lambda} p_{\lambda} p(a \mid x, \lambda) p\left(b \mid y, \rho_{\lambda}\right) .
$$

$p(a \mid x, \lambda)$ : arbitrary probability distributions, $p\left(b \mid y, \rho_{\lambda}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{\lambda} B_{b}\right)$ : probability distributions from Born rule.

The set of probability distributions $p(a, b \mid x, y)$ is EPR nonlocal (Bell) if it does not admit any LHV-LHS (LHV) model.
${ }^{1}$ Werner, PRA, 40, 4277 (1989); Wiseman et al., PRL 98, 140402 (2007).

## Simplest Bell scenario and Simplest Steering scenario

Simplest Bell scenario: Two dichotomic measurements for Alice and Bob, respectively.

The set of correlations is Bell nonlocal iff it violates the CHSH inequality.

Simplest steering scenario:
Two dichotomic measurements for Alice and Bob, respectively.
2. Two dichotomic measurements for Alice and one trine measurement for Bob.
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## Measurement and state assemblages

- A positive-operator-valued measure (POVMs) is composed of a set of positive operators that sum up to the identity.
- A measurement assemblage $\left\{A_{a \mid x}\right\}$ is a collection of POVMs.
- Ensembles and state assemblages:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{a} \mid X}=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(A_{\mathrm{a} \mid X} \otimes 1\right) \rho\right], \quad \sum_{a} \rho_{\mathrm{a} \mid X}=\rho_{B}=\operatorname{tr}_{A}(\rho) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of unnormalized states $\rho_{a \mid x}$ for a given measurement $x$ is an ensemble for $\rho_{B}$, and the whole collection $\left\{\rho_{a \mid x}\right\}$ a state assemblage

## Steering and local hidden state model

- The assemblage $\left\{\rho_{a \mid x}\right\}$ admits a local hidden state model if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{a \mid x}=\sum_{\lambda} p(a \mid x, \lambda) \sigma_{\lambda} \quad \forall a, x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\sigma_{\lambda}\right\}$ is an ensemble for $\rho_{B}$ and $p(a \mid x, \lambda)$ are a collection of stochastic maps.

- The assemblage $\left\{\rho_{a \mid x}\right\}$ is steerable it does not admit a local hidden state model.
- The state $\rho$ is steerable from Alice to Bob if there exists a measurement assemblage for Alice such that the resulting state assemblage for Bob is steerable.


## Restricted LHS model

- Let $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a subspace of the operator space. The assemblage $\left\{\rho_{a \mid x}\right\}_{a, x}$ admits a $\mathcal{V}$-restricted LHS model if

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\Pi \rho_{a \mid x}\right)=\sum_{\lambda} p_{\lambda} p(a \mid x, \lambda) \operatorname{tr}\left(\Pi \rho_{\lambda}\right) \quad \forall \Pi \in \mathcal{V}
$$

Otherwise, it is $\mathcal{V}$-steerable.

- Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the space spanned by all the effects $B_{b}$.
$\{p(a, b \mid x, y)\}$ is EPR nonlocal $\Longleftrightarrow\left\{\rho_{a \mid x}\right\}_{a, x}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-steerable.
- Consider the two-qubit state

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{I} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{I} \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} t_{i j} \sigma_{i} \otimes \sigma_{j}\right),
$$

Alice and Bob can choose two projective measurements as described by $\left\{\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, A_{2}\right\}=\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}=\left\{\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\}$.

- Assemble of Bob induced by Alice,
where $\gamma_{m j}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{m i} t_{j}$.
- Assemblage after projection:
where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{m}$ are the projection of and $\beta$ and $\gamma_{m}$ on the plane
spanned by $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}$.
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Alice and Bob can choose two projective measurements as described by $\left\{\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, A_{2}\right\}=\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}=\left\{\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\}$.

- Assemble of Bob induced by Alice,

$$
\rho_{ \pm \mid m}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(1 \pm \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{m}\right) I+\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pm \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right]
$$

where $\gamma_{m j}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{m i} t_{i j}$.

- Assemblage after projection:

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{ \pm \mid m}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(1 \pm \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{m}\right) I+\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pm \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right],
$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{m}$ are the projection of and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\gamma_{m}$ on the plane spanned by $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}$.

## Necessary and sufficient steering criterion

- The state $\rho$ is steerable under the measurement setting $\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right\}$ iff the assemblage $\left\{\tilde{\rho}_{ \pm \mid m}\right\}$ is steerable.
- Equivalently, the two effects $O_{+\mid 1}$ and $O_{+\mid 2}$ are not coexistent, where

$$
O_{ \pm \mid m}=\tilde{\rho}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-1 / 2} \tilde{\rho}_{ \pm \mid m} \tilde{\rho}_{\mathrm{B}}^{-1 / 2}=O_{ \pm \mid m}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1 \pm \eta_{m}\right) I \pm \boldsymbol{r}_{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right]
$$

are known as steering-equivalent observables.

- $O_{+\mid 1}$ and $O_{+\mid 2}$ are coexistent iff ${ }^{2}$

$$
\left(1-F_{1}^{2}-F_{2}^{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{\eta_{1}^{2}}{F_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\eta_{2}^{2}}{F_{2}^{2}}\right) \leq\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{2}-\eta_{1} \eta_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

where

$$
F_{m}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\left(1+\eta_{m}\right)^{2}-r_{m}^{2}}+\sqrt{\left(1-\eta_{m}\right)^{2}-r_{m}^{2}}\right)
$$

${ }^{2}$ S. Yu, N.-I. Liu, L. Li, and C. H. Oh, PRA 81, 062116 (2010)

## Theorem

In the simplest steering scenario, the set of full correlations is EPR nonlocal iff the analog CHSH inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\langle\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) B_{1}\right\rangle \boldsymbol{b}_{1}^{\prime}+\left\langle\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) B_{2}\right\rangle \boldsymbol{b}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \\
& +\left|\left\langle\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) B_{1}\right\rangle \boldsymbol{b}_{1}^{\prime}+\left\langle\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) B_{2}\right\rangle \boldsymbol{b}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

is violated, where $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}^{\prime}$ form the dual basis of $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}$.
When Bob's measurements are mutually unbiased, the criterion reduces to that derived by Cavalcanti et al.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\left\langle\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) B_{1}\right\rangle^{2}+\left\langle\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right) B_{2}\right\rangle^{2}} \\
& +\sqrt{\left\langle\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) B_{1}\right\rangle^{2}+\left\langle\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) B_{2}\right\rangle^{2}} \leq 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

The maximal violation $S$ of the analog CHSH inequality by any two-qubit state with correlation matrix $T$ is equal to the maximal violation of the CHSH inequality, namely, $S=2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}$, where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are the two largest eigenvalues of $T T^{\mathrm{T}}$. Both inequalities are violated iff $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}>1$.

## Corollary

A two-qubit state can generate Bell-nonlocal correlations in the simplest nontrivial scenario iff it can generate EPR-nonlocal full correlations.

## Strict hierarchy between steering and Bell nonlocality

 Consider a convex combination of the singlet and a product state,$$
\rho=s\left(\left|\Psi_{-}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{-}\right|\right)+(1-s)(|0\rangle\langle 0|) \otimes \frac{1}{2} .
$$



Figure: Black region: states that can generate Bell-nonlocal correlations or EPR-nonlocal full correlations in the simplest scenario. Blue region: states that are steerable in the same scenario, but cannot generate Bell-nonlocal correlations or EPR-nonlocal full correlations.

## Simplest and strongest one-way steering

Consider the two-qubit state ${ }^{3}$,

$$
\rho(p, \theta)=p(|\psi(\theta)\rangle\langle\psi(\theta)|)+(1-p)\left[\frac{l}{2} \otimes \rho_{\mathrm{B}}(\theta)\right],
$$

where $|\psi(\theta)\rangle=\cos \theta|00\rangle+\sin \theta|11\rangle$.

- Violate the analog CHSH inequality iff $p^{2}\left[1+\sin ^{2}(2 \theta)\right]>1$.
- Not unsteerable from Bob to Alice by arbitrary projective measurements if

$$
\cos ^{2}(2 \theta) \geq \frac{2 p-1}{(2-p) p^{3}} .
$$

- Alice can steer Bob in the simplest scenario iff $p>1 / \sqrt{2}$.

[^0]
## Simplest and strongest one-way steering



Figure: Orange: violate the (analog) CHSH inequality. Red: steerable from Alice to Bob in the simplest steering scenario, but cannot violate the (analog) CHSH inequality. Yellow: not steerable from Bob to Alice by arbitrary projective measurements. Intersection of the red region and the yellow region: demonstrate the simplest and strongest one-way steering.

## Simplest one-way steering with respect to POVMs

Consider the state

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho= & \frac{1}{4}\left[I \otimes I+p \cos (2 \theta) \sigma_{3} \otimes I+\cos ^{2} \theta I \otimes \sigma_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+p \cos \theta\left(\sin \theta \sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1}-\sin \theta \sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2}+\cos \theta \sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- No violation of the (analog) CHSH inequality
- Not unsteerable from Bob to Alice by arbitrary POVMs if

$$
\cos ^{2}(2 \theta) \geq \frac{2 p-1}{(2-p) p^{3}}
$$

- Alice can steer Bob in the simplest scenario for some parameter range, say $p=0.825$ and $\theta=0.020$.


## Summary and an open question

- A two-qubit state can generate EPR-nonlocal full correlations in the simplest nontrivial scenario iff it can generate Bell-nonlocal correlations ${ }^{4}$.
- When full statistics is taken into account, the same scenario can demonstrate one-way steering and the hierarchy between steering and Bell nonlocality in the simplest and strongest form.

Does there exist a two-qubit state that is not steerable in the simplest scenario, but is steerable in the second simplest scenario in which Alice performs two dichotomic measurements and Bob performs full tomography?
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[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ J. Bowles, F. Hirsch, M. T. Quintino, and N. Brunner, PRA 93, 022121 (2016) $=$

