CrossMark

ORIGINAL PAPER

Revisiting Basel risk weights: cross-sectional risk sensitivity and cyclicality

Rainer Baule¹ · Christian Tallau²

Published online: 11 May 2016

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract We empirically assess the sensitivity of Basel risk weights to bank portfolio risk and the business cycle. With our econometric model, we distinguish between cross-sectional risk sensitivity and longitudinal risk sensitivity (cyclicality) of the regulatory standard. Employing a comprehensive data set covering 200 large banks from 28 countries, we find that actual risk weights are fairly insensitive to the business cycle. There is no evidence that Basel II has significantly increased cyclicality. Furthermore, cross-sectional risk sensitivity of regulatory risk weights to a market measure of bank portfolio risk is low. We further assess the adequacy of the capital standard's risk sensitivity based on a Merton-style model of bank risk and bank default. Judged upon the Basel Committee's self-established goal of maintaining bank default rates below 0.1 %, our results suggest that risk weights and minimum capital requirements are ill-calibrated, even under the stricter Basel III rules.

 $\textbf{Keywords} \ \ \text{Basel II} \cdot \text{Risk weights} \cdot \text{Banking regulation} \cdot \text{Capital requirements} \cdot \text{Pro-cyclicality}$

We thank two anonymous referees for valuable comments and suggestions. Financial support from TriSolutions GmbH is gratefully acknowledged.

² Münster University of Applied Sciences, Corrensstr. 25, 48149 Münster, Germany



Rainer Baule rainer.baule@fernuni-hagen.de

¹ University of Hagen, Universitätsstr. 41, 58084 Hagen, Germany