CATCHMENT MODELLING FOR PLANNING USE OF LAND AND WATER RESOURCES IN SEMI ARID AREAS

Joy Obando

Joy Obando, Geography Department, Kenyatta University, P. O. Box 4384 Nairobi, Kenya. Email: obandojoy@yahoo.com

Abstract

Catchment models provide important planning tools that can be used in management of land and water resources. Models can be used in the understanding of dynamic processes and to predict the rates of these processes thereby providing tools for planning land use. This is very important in semi arid areas where it is difficult to obtain relevant data over a short period such as rainfall, which tends to be irregular and erratic both spatially and temporally.

This paper provides an overview of the problem of soil erosion in Kenya and discusses the relevance of models for planning land water resources particularly for semi arid catchments. Paper to be presented at the Lake Abaya Research Symposium, Hilton Hotel Addis Ababa, 10 - 12 November 2004

Key Words: land resources water resources, semiarid area

1 Introduction

Modelling has enhanced understanding of spatial and temporal aspects in catchments. Indeed it has become possible to make assessment of processes over large areas thus enabling priority management areas to be identified.

An integrated assessment of the land and water resources in semi arid catchments can enable planning for sustainable use of the land, and can be useful in feeding information into general science knowledge as well as in application to the understanding of interactions at the catchment level.

In order to plan the usage of land and water resources in a catchment it is important to assess the biophysical interactions, particularly the land degradation caused by land use change, including effects of farming, deforestation, increasing human population, livestock and wildlife.

2 Erosion and water scarcity

Kenya is a dry region with more than two thirds considered arid and semi arid lands (ASALs). The country has also experienced rapid population growth in the last four decades since independence in the 1960's, resulting in major changes in land occupation and use. These ASALs support more than 50% of livestock and 35% of the population (Langat and Mwangata, 1994, Jaetzold and Schmidt, 1983).

Areas that were previously left to wildlife and pastoralism livelihoods now have been occupied by human settlements from the increasingly densely populated more humid lands. These semi arid areas in the region have now had an increase in subsistence and commercial farming as well as in urbanization.

The manifestations of the changes in human population and level of activity include deforestation as the population encroaches the forests as well as overgrazing as shown in Figures 1 and 2 respectively. The climate in Kenya is also erratic more so in these arid and semi arid areas, making it difficult to monitor the changes due to rainfall directly. Only about 17% of the country has consistently over 600 mm of rainfall annually (Ahn 1979). The issues of concern are therefore increasing soil erosion and land degradation and problems of water scarcity.

Catchment modelling for planning use of land and water resources in semi arid areas Joy Obando



Figure 1 Depleted vegetation cover at the edges of Maralal forest



Figure 2 Overgrazing in Baragoi, Samburu

Despite its significance as a component in land degradation, soil erosion has proved almost impossible to estimate over large areas with any degree of precision. Researchers continue in their quest to estimate extent, severity, as well as economic and environmental impacts of soil erosion. This is because it is spatially and temporally highly variable and techniques used such as erosion plots and river yield estimates are point samples that tend to have large errors.

Indeed some long applied techniques of estimating soil erosion have proven unreliable and labour intensive. Yet, the soil erosion rates can provide data to guide decisions about the targeting of funds for mitigation (Batterbury *et al* 2003).

The magnitude of erosion in Kenya varies both temporally and spatially (Table 1) by several studies, with high losses of soil from ASAL catchments. Sediment yield from undisturbed forests catchments is 20 to 30 t km⁻² yr⁻¹ while agricultural basins range between 10 to several thousand t km⁻² yr⁻¹.

This is depending on the topography, runoff and the proportion of basin that is cultivated (Hai *et al* 2000) in comparison to 1000 t/ha/yr from the semi arid overgrazed and intensively cultivated (Moore 1979).

| Area/ Catchment type | Rates of erosion/ Soil loss | Reference |
|---|--|------------------|
| Semi arid southern Kenya | 0.53 – 1.03 cm/year | Wahome 1992 |
| Annual rainfall 700 mm | - | |
| Undisturbed forest catchments | $20 - 30 \text{ t/km}^2/\text{year}$ | Hai et al 2000 |
| Agricultural Land | 10 – 1000 t/km ² /year | |
| Humid areas | 0.01 – 0.02 mm/year | Dunne et al 1978 |
| Semi arid | 0.01mm/year | |
| Forested highland drainage basins | 0.02 -0.03 mm/yr (20-30 t/km ² /yr) | Dunne et al 1981 |
| Semi arid cultivated | 40t/ha/yr | Moore 1978 |
| Overgrazed | 109 t/ha/yr | |
| Recently ploughed | 6.1 t/ha/yr | |
| Old pasture | 2.4 t/ha/yr | |
| Forested undisturbed | 18-26 t/ha/yr | Moore 1979 |
| Semi arid lightly grazed | 50-140 t/ha/yr | |
| Semiarid overgrazed, intensively cultivated | 1000 t/ha/yr | |
| Semi arid | 0.2 –10mm/yr | Moore 1983 |

Table 1 Examples of soil erosion rates in Kenya

Generally these studies have shown that the soil characteristics, the vegetation cover and the slope aspects influence the hydrology. Rates also calculated from sediment yield (Dunne *et al* 1979) or using experimental plots (Lewis *et al* 1985, Kilewe 1985, 1987, Omwega 1989, Obando 1990) generally indicate high rates of soil and water losses, particularly from agricultural fields. However, uncertainty still exists on the soil erosion within the semi arid areas, showing the need for further studies.

Soil erosion is only one element of land degradation in these ASALs and is linked to water scarcity. Of the country's total annual rainfall, about 20-30% and in some extreme cases up to 50% is lost through direct surface runoff (Omwega 1989). There is also loss through evaporation estimated at about 40%, thus leaving only 10-20% as infiltration and basin storage. In the ASALs, traditionally hand dug wells (Figure 3) in the seasonal rivers have been in use by the pastoralists for household water and for the livestock.

In addition, knowledge on the amount and distribution of water resources during different seasons has been learned and passed down to pastoralists who know the terrain and resource base.

Construction of boreholes (Figure 4) and sand dams (Figure 5) are increasing being used by development agencies so as to improve water availability. These issues can be better addressed by improved understanding of the involved in erosion processes as well as methods of conserving water in ASAL catchments.



Figure 3 Hand dug well in Baragoi River, Samburu



Figure 4 Covered borehole in Baragoi River, Samburu



Figure 5 Building sandbanks on Baragoi River, Samburu

3 Models for Planning

Planning of land usage and water resources in semi arid areas requires an understanding of the interactive processes. Several models have been developed both at field and catchment scales (Table 2). These models are useful depending on the several factors including the quality of input data. In many circumstances, relative results from models are more reliable than absolute results (Jetten *et al* 1990).

| Field scale | | Reference |
|-----------------|--|---------------------|
| CREAMS | Chemicals, runoff and erosion from agricultural management | |
| | systems | |
| EPIC | Erosion-productivity impact calculator | Williams et al 1990 |
| EUROSEM | European soil erosion model | Morgan et al 1994 |
| SLEMSA | Soil Loss Estimation Model for Southern Africa | Elwell 1991 |
| USLE | Universal Soil Loss Equation | Wschmeier 1978 |
| | | |
| Catchment scale | | |
| ANSWERS | Areal non-point source watershed environment management | De Roo 1993 |
| | practices fro soil conservation | |
| EUROSEM | European soil erosion model | Morgan et al 1994 |

Table 2 Field scale and catchment scale models

Modelling is attractive but has shortcomings related to validation. The earliest models were empirical equations based on large database from plot studies in a wide range of environments over many years. The Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) was the first generation of erosion model, which was used in planning, and designing soil conservation measures and in assessing the environmental impacts of changes in land use (Wischmeier 1978).

The new generation of models are process based, reflecting the most recent advances in hydrological and erosion research. Process based soil erosion models have become exceedingly important in estimating soil loss. Kirkby (1972) developed the simple Musgrave equation 1947 into a general equation for estimating total potential erosion rate with a variant for rill erosion and a methodology for its used as an erosion indicator. It has been found that erosion declines exponentially as its key controller vegetation cover increases, at 30% cover; the soil erosion is reduced to 30% of its value on bare soil. Several researchers have shown this to be the case (Elwell and Stocking, 1976; Thomas, 1978, Thornes 1987, Obando 1997, Mati et al 2002).

Thus once the threshold for any catchment has been established it becomes possible to plan for use of the land such that the vulnerable areas have permanent vegetation cover. Using the water balance equation, it is possible to plan the areas of the catchment to leave with permanent vegetation, and those that can remain bare without increased losses of water through surface runoff. This can be done to provide for various scenarios, enabling relative values to be used in planning the use of land and water resources.

This is the challenge that now faces researchers working in ASALS in Kenya.

4 Conclusions

Modelling tools can be used in planning of land usage and water in the arid and semi arid lands of Kenya. Erosion rates from various researches have provided information on the severity and variability of erosion and these can now be used as input in simple models that can provide immediate information to decision makers. However one needs to remember that models cannot replace the actual catchment interactions

5 References

- Ahn, P. M. 1979 Erosion Hazard and Farming Systems in East Africa, in Greenland, D. J. and Lal, R. Soil Conservation and Management in the Humid Tropics, John Wiley and Sons, pp165 – 176
- Batterbury et al (2003) Responding to Desertification at the National Scale: Detection, Explanation and Responses", Chapter 19 in Reynolds, J.F., and D.M. Stafford Smith (eds). "Global Desertification: Do Humans Cause Deserts?" Dahlem Workshop Series, Dahlem University Press, Berlin
- De Roo, A. P. J. (1993) Modelling Surface Runoff and Soil Erosion in Catchments using Geographical Information Systems PhD Thesis Faculteit Rumtelijke Wetenschappen Universiteit Utrecht, Nederland. 295p.
- Dunne, T. 1979 Sediment yield and land use in tropical catchments, Journal of Hydrology, 42: 281-300
- Dunne, T., Dietrich, W.E. and Brunengo, M.J. (1978) Recent and past erosion rates in semi-arid Kenya. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie, Supplement Band 29. pp. 215 - 230.
- Dunne, T., Wahome, E.K. and Aubrey, B. 1981, *An Ordinal-scale classification of water erosion intensity*, Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, Kenya
- Elwell, H. A. (1981) A Soil Loss Estimation Technique for Southern Africa, in Morgan, R. P. C. (ed.) Soil Conservation: Problems and Prospects. John Wiley and Sons, pp. 282 - 292
- Hai M., Ong, C. K. and Mungai, D. (2000) The Impact of Land use and Rainfall on Sedimentation and Runoff in the Lake Victoria Basin, UNESCO-IUFRO Symposium on Forest, Water and People in the humid tropics: Past, present and future Hydrological Research, University of Kebangsaan Malaysia, 30 August 2000
- Jetten, V. De Roo A. and Favis-Mortlock, D. 1990 Evaluation of field scale and catchment scale soil erosion models, *Catena*, 37 pp 521-542
- Kilewe, A. M. (1985), Measurement and Prediction of soil erosion in Kiambu and Murang'a Districts of Kenya, National Environmental Secretariat Publication, Nairobi.
- Kilewe, A. M. (1987), Prediction of Erosion Rates and the Effects of Topsoil Thickness on Soil Productivity, unpub. Ph.D. thesis, University of Nairobi
- Langat, R. K. and Mwangata, J. H. N. (1994) Range Management in Kenya: Progress and Status, in Herlocker, D. Shaabani, S.B. and Buijott, K.S.A. (eds.) Range Managemen Handbook of Kenya, Vol. 1., pp. 11-20 MALDM, Government Printer, Nairobi
- Lewis, L. A. (1985), 'Assessing Soil in Kiambu and Murang'a', Geografiska Annaler, 67A pp 273 284.
- Mati, B., Morgan, R. P. C., Gichuki, F. N., Quinton, J. N., Brewer, T. R. and Liniger, H. P. 2000 Assessment of erosion hazard with the USLE and GIS: A case study of the Upper Ewaso Ng'iro North basin of Kenya, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, Vol. 2. Issue 2 pp 78 -86
- Moore T. R. 1983 The Problem of Soil Erosion, The Kenya Geographer, 5 (1-2) pp 67-71
- Moore T. R. 1979 Rainfall Erosivity in East Africa, Geograpiker Annaler 61A pp 147 156
- Moore T. R. 1978 Soil Erosion, Miscellaneous paper, No. 1. University of Nairobi, Faculty of Agriculture, Kenya
- Morgan, R. P. C., Quinton, J. N. and Rickson, R. J. (1994) Modelling Methodology for Soil Erosion Assessment and Soil Conservation Design. The EUROSEM Approach. *Outlook on Agriculture* 23:9, pp 5 – 9
- Obando, J. A. (1990) Some Physical Characteristics of Sediments Eroded from Runoff Plots and Their Comparison with the Soil Surface on two Slopes in Githunguri, Kiambu District, Kenya. M.Sc. Thesis, Kenyatta University

- Obando, J. A. (1997) Modelling the Impact of Land Abandonment on Runoff and Soil Erosion in a Semi-Arid Catchment, Rambla del Chortal, Spain. Ph.D., University of London, King's College
- Omwega, A. K. 1989 Crop cover, rainfall energy and soil erosion in Githunguri, Kiambu District, Kenya, Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Manchester
- Stocking, M. and H. Elwell (1976), 'Vegetation and Erosion: A Review', Scottish Geographical Magazine, Vol. 92 No. 1 pp 4 - 16.
- Thomas, D. B. 1978 Some observation on soil conservation in Machakos District with special reference to terracing, soil and water conservation in Kenya: A workshop Report, Occasional Paper 27, Institute of Development Studies, University of Nairobi, pp 25 – 39
- Thornes, J.B. (1987). The Palaeoecology of Erosion, in Wagstaff, J.M. (ed.) Landscape and Culture: Geographical and Archaeological Perspectives Basil Blackwell, pp. 37-55.
- Wahome, E. K. 1982 Soil Erosion types and their distribution in Machakos District, Technical Report No. 126, August 1986, Kenya Rangeland Ecological Monitoring Unit, Ministry of Planning and National
- Williams, J. R., Sharpley, A. N. and Taylor, D. (1990) Assessing the Impact of Erosion on Soil Productivity Using the EPIC model, in Boardman, J. Foster, I. D. L. and Dearing, J. A. (eds.) Soil Erosion on Agricultural Land. John Wiley and Sons.
- Wischmeier, W. H. (1978) Use and Misuse of the Universal Soil Loss Equation. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 31, pp. 5–9